

Cohomological Hall algebras
of Higgs sheaves on curves
and positivity of
Kac-Schiffmann polynomials

(work in progress with Hennecart, Porta, and Schiffmann)

2. Motivation: Kac polynomials for quivers

Fix a quiver $Q = (I = \{\text{vertices}\}, \Omega = \{\text{edges}\})$ and a field K .

The Kac polynomial of Q is an "enumerative invariant".

Goal: Explain a Lie-theoretic interpretation of it.

First, we need to recall:

- ▶ KQ = path algebra of Q
= K -vector space generated by all paths of length $l \geq 0$, with multiplication given by concatenation
- ▶ A representation of Q is a left module M of KQ
- ▶ A repr. M is **indecomposable** if it is nonzero and cannot be written as a direct sum of proper subrepr.
- ▶ A repr. M is **absolutely indecomposable** if $M \otimes_K \bar{K}$ is

Indecomposable over \bar{K} = algebraic closure of K

- A repr. M is **finite-dimensional** if K -vector space $e_i M$ is finite-dimensional $\forall i \in I$.
 ↳ path of length zero at the vertex i

Remark

$M = f.d.$ repr. \rightsquigarrow dimension vector $(\dim_K e_i M)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{N}^I$

Theorem (Kac, 1982)

Fix a finite field \mathbb{F}_q with q elements and $d \in \mathbb{N}$.
Then $\exists!$ polynomial $A_{Q,d}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that

$$A_{Q,d}(q) = \#\left\{ \text{isom. classes of absolutely indecomposable reprs of } Q \text{ of dimension } d \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_q \right\}$$

Moreover, $A_{Q,d}(t)$ does not depend on the orientation of Q .

Examples

- $Q = \text{finite ADE quiver} \longleftrightarrow \text{simple Lie algebra}$

$$A_{Q,\underline{d}}(t) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \underline{d} \in \Delta^+$$

- $Q = \text{affine ADE quiver} \longleftrightarrow \text{affine Lie algebra}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} A_{Q,\underline{d}}(t) = 1 & \forall \underline{d} \in \Delta_{\text{re}}^+ \\ A_{Q,\underline{d}}(t) = t + \#\mathbb{I} - 1 & \forall \underline{d} \in \Delta_{\text{im}}^+ \end{cases}$$

- $Q = \text{1-loop quiver } A_{Q,\underline{d}}(t) = t \quad \forall \underline{d} \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$.

- For arbitrary quivers, Huo (2000) derived an explicit formula for $A_{Q,\underline{d}}(t)$

Let's now introduce the Lie algebra associated with Q :

- Q without edge-loops $\sim g_Q^{\text{KM}} = \text{Kac-Moody Lie algebra}$
 $= \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{I}\text{-graded Lie algebra}$

- Q arbitrary $\sim g_Q^{\text{MO}} = \text{Maulik-OKonkov Lie algebra}$

$= (\mathbb{Z} I \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded Lie algebra

Example: $Q =$ finite ADE quiver

► g_Q^{KM} = simple Lie algebra g_{ADE}

► g_Q^{MO} = universal central extension of $g_{ADE} \supset g_{ADE} = g_Q^{KM}$

We are ready to state the Lie-theoretic interpretation of $A_{Q,d}(t)$:

Conjecture (Kac, 1982); Theorem (Hausel, 2010)

Assume that Q is without edge-loops.

Then, we have

$$A_{Q,d}(0) = \dim g_{Q,d}^{KM}$$

$\left(g_{Q,d}^{KM} = d\text{-th graded piece of } g_Q^{KM} \right)$

Attention Δ : the above result provides a Lie-theoretic interpretation only of the constant term of $A_{Q,d}(t)$

Question: What about the other coefficients?

Conjecture (Okounkov, 2013)

Theorem (Botta-Davison, Schiffmann-Vasserot; 2023)

Let Q be an arbitrary quiver. Then, we have:

$$A_{Q,d}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\dim g_{Q,(d,k)}^{MO} \right) t^{-k}$$

(d, k)-th graded piece

Remark

This result was obtained using the theory of COHAS and BPS Lie algebras

Corollary

$$A_{Q,d}(t) \in \mathbb{N}[t].$$

Remark

Note that the above result was obtained also by Hausel-Letellier-Rodriguez-Villegas (2013)

Today: Explain a similar framework when Q is replaced by a smooth curve X .

2. Kac-Schiffmann polynomials for curves

Fix a smooth projective curve X/\mathbb{C}

Consider the Dolbeault moduli space:

$M_{\text{Dol}}^{(s)s}(X; r, d)$ = moduli space of (semi)stable Higgs bundles
on X of rank r and degree d

Schiffmann, 2016: explicit computation of the Betti numbers of $M_{\text{Dol}}^s(X; r, d)$ for r and d coprime.

Mozgovoy-Schiffmann, 2020: generalization to the noncoprime case

Attention: In both cases, the formulas are given in terms of the Kac-Schiffmann polynomial

To define Kac-Schiffmann polynomial, we need to recall:

► The zeta function ζ of a smooth projective curve X/\mathbb{F}_q is

$$\mathcal{Z}_X(t) := \exp\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \# X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \frac{t^n}{n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - \zeta_i t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)}$$

Here, $\zeta_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ = Weil numbers of X . They satisfy $\zeta_{2i-1} \zeta_{2i} = q$

► Set

$$T_g := \left\{ (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2g}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{2g} : \alpha_{2i-1} \alpha_{2i} = \alpha_{2j-1} \alpha_{2j} \quad \forall i, j \right\}$$

$$W_g := \zeta_g \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g$$

$$\implies \{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{2g}\} \rightsquigarrow \zeta_X \in T_g / W_g$$

Theorem (Schiffmann, 2016)

Fix $g \in \mathbb{N}$, $(r, d) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\exists!$

$$A_{g,r,d} \in \mathbb{C}[T_g]^{W_g}$$

such that

$A_{g,r,d}(G_X) = \#\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{absolutely indecomposable vector} \\ \text{bundles of rank } r \text{ and degree } d \\ \text{on a genus } g \text{ smooth projective} \\ \text{curve } /_{\mathbb{F}_q} \text{ of Weil numbers } G_1, \dots, G_{2g} \end{array} \right\}$

Moreover, when r, d are coprime, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n \dim H_c^r(M_{Dol}^s(X/\mathbb{C}; r, d); \mathbb{Q}) t^n = t^{2(1+(g-1)r^2)} A_{g,r,d}(t, \dots, t)$$

Theorem (Mellit, 2020)

$A_{g,r,d}$ is independent of d

Examples

► genus = 0 :

$$A_{0,r,d} = \begin{cases} q+1 & r=0, \forall d \\ 1 & r=1, \forall d \\ 0 & r \geq 2, \forall d \end{cases}$$

► genus = 1 : $A_{1,r,d}(G_1, G_2) = G_1 G_2 + 1 - (G_1 + G_2)$

► arbitrary genus: \exists an explicit formula for $A_{g,r,d}$

Now, we formulate a Lie-theoretic interpretation of $A_{g,r,d}$
Note that

► T_g is the maximal torus of $\mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{C})$

► W_g is the Weyl group of $\mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{C})$

$\implies \mathbb{C}[T_g]^{W_g}$ is the character ring of $\mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{C})$

Conjecture (Schiffmann, 2018 ICM talk)

For any $g \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, \exists a Lie algebra

$$g = \bigoplus_{(r,d)} g_{r,d}$$

such that $g \in \mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{C})\text{-mod}$ for which

$$\mathrm{ch}(g_{r,d}) = A_{g,r,d}$$

Theorem (Hennecart-Porta-S.-Schiffmann)

Schiffmann's conjecture is true.

Attention: The proof is based on the theory of COHAs
and BPS Lie algebras.

Let's recall their construction.

Consider

$\text{IRCoh}_{\text{Dol}}(X)$:= derived moduli stack of Higgs sheaves on X

$\text{IRCoh}_{\text{Dol}}^{(s)s,p}(X)$:= derived moduli stack of (semi)stable Higgs
bundles on X of fixed slope $\nu \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$

Theorem (S.-Schiffmann, 2020; Porta-S., 2023)

There exists a cohomological Hall algebra $\text{COHA}_{\text{Dol}}(X)$,
whose underlying vector space is

$$\text{COHA}_{\text{Dol}}(X) := H_*^{\text{BM}}(\text{IRCoh}_{\text{Dol}}(X))$$

and the multiplication is $p_* \circ q^!$, where

$$\mathrm{ICoh}_{\mathrm{Dol}}(X) \times \mathrm{ICoh}_{\mathrm{Dol}}(X) \xleftarrow{q} \mathrm{ICoh}_{\mathrm{Dol}}^{\mathrm{ext}}(X) \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{ICoh}_{\mathrm{Dol}}(X)$$

stack of extensions

$$p : 0 \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E_2 \longrightarrow 0 \longmapsto \begin{matrix} E \\ (E_2, E_1) \end{matrix}$$

- proper
- quasi-sm.

Similarly, $\exists \mathrm{COHA}_{\mathrm{Dol}}^{ss,\nu}(X)$ associated with $\mathrm{ICoh}_{\mathrm{Dol}}^{ss,\nu}(X)$

Using the theory of BPS Lie algebras for CY2 categories:

Theorem (Davison-Hennecart-Schelegel-Meijer, 2023)
 For any $\nu \in \mathrm{Qu}\{\mathrm{so}\}$, \exists a Lie algebra

$$g_X^\nu = \bigoplus_{\substack{(r,d) \\ d/r=\nu}} g_{r,d}^\nu$$

such that

$$\mathrm{COHA}_{\mathrm{Dol}}^{ss,\nu}(X) = U(g_X^\nu[u])$$

Moreover, for $g \geq 2$, we have

$$g^\nu \simeq \mathrm{FreeLie} \left(\bigoplus_{d/r=\nu} \mathrm{IH}^*(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Dol}}(X; r, d)) \right)$$

Examples

► For $v=\infty$, $\mathfrak{g}_{0,d}^\infty \simeq H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \quad \forall d$

► When genus = 0, $\text{Coh}_{\text{Dol}}^{ss, 0}(\mathbb{P}^1; r, 0) \simeq \text{pt}/GL(r, \mathbb{C})$

$$\implies \text{COHA}_{\text{Dol}}^{ss, 0}(\mathbb{P}^1) \simeq \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_r]^{\mathfrak{S}_r} + \text{shuffle product}$$

Attention (Diaconescu-Porta-S-Schiffmann-Vasserot):

$$\text{COHA}_{\text{Dol}}(\mathbb{P}^1) \simeq U(n_{\text{ell}})$$

where n_{ell} = "non-standard" positive half of $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ell}} := \text{v.c.e.}(sl(2)[z^{\pm 1}, u])$

► When genus = 1, $\mathfrak{g}_{r,d}^\vee \simeq H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \quad \forall r, d$

Attention: $\text{COHA}_{\text{Dol}}(X)$ should be $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(z)^+)$

Attention Δ :

Each $\mathfrak{g}_{r,d}^\vee$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded.

coh. grading

For r, d coprime, we have

$$\text{gr. dim } g_{r,d}^{\vee} = q^{(g-1)r^2+1} A_{g,r,d}(q^{\frac{1}{2}}, \dots, q^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

$\Rightarrow g_X^{\vee}$ could be the "right" candidate for solving Schiffmann's conjecture

The previous result has been refined:

Theorem (Davison-Kinjo-Henhecat-Schiffmann-Vasserot)

\exists a Lie algebra

$$g_X[u] := \left\{ x \in \text{COHA}_{\text{Dol}}(X) : \Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \right\} = \bigoplus_{(r,d)} g_{r,d}[u]$$

such that

- $U(g_X[u]) \subset \text{COHA}_{\text{Dol}}(X)$ is a subalgebra
- $\forall \nu \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}, \exists \bigoplus_{\substack{(r,d) \\ d/r=\nu}} g_{r,d}[u] \xrightarrow{\sim} g_X^{\vee}[u]$
- $\forall (r,d) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}, g_{r,d}[u] \xrightarrow{\sim} g_{r,d+1}[u]$

The natural question at this point is:

Question: how do we endow the Lie algebra g_X of the structure of a $\text{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{C})$ -repr?

Let \mathcal{M}_g be the moduli stack of smooth projective curves over \mathbb{C} . Then, recall:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Torelli subgroup} \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_g) \longrightarrow \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

\implies As a first step, we could construct

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_g)\text{-repr.} \longleftrightarrow \text{local system over } \mathcal{M}_g$$

Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}_g & = & \text{universal curve} \\ \downarrow j & & \\ \mathcal{M}_g & & \end{array}$$

$$\implies \underline{\text{RCoh}}_{\text{Dol}/\mathcal{M}_g}(\mathcal{E}_g) \text{ and } \underline{\text{RCoh}}_{\text{Dol}/\mathcal{M}_g}^{\text{ss}, \nu}(\mathcal{E}_g)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi \downarrow & & \pi' \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_g & & \mathcal{M}_g \end{array}$$

$$\implies \text{Define } \pi_* \pi^! \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{M}_g} \text{ and } \pi'_* (\pi')^! \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{M}_g}$$

Theorem (HPSS)

1. $\pi_* \pi^! \mathbb{Q}_{M_g}$ and $\pi_*^\vee (\pi^\vee)^! \mathbb{Q}_{M_g}$ are sheaves of associative algebras
2. $\forall X \hookrightarrow M_g, \iota_X^* \pi_* \pi^! \mathbb{Q}_{M_g} \simeq \text{COHA}_{\text{Dol}}(X)$
 $\iota_X^* \pi_*^\vee (\pi^\vee)^! \mathbb{Q}_{M_g} \simeq \text{COHA}_{\text{Dol}}^{\text{ss}, \vee}(X)$
3. \exists a sheaf BPS_g of Lie algebras such that
 $\text{Sym} \left(BPS_g \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\text{pt}) \right) \simeq \pi_*^\vee (\pi^\vee)^! \mathbb{Q}_{M_g}$

Attention:

(1) and (3) are obtained by generalizing to the "relative" setting what we saw before.

The proof of (2) is highly nontrivial since π and π^\vee are not proper.

Theorem (HPSS)

1. $\pi_*^\vee(\pi^!)^! \mathbb{Q}_{M_g}$ and BPS_g are local systems on M_g
2. $\pi_*\pi^! \mathbb{Q}_{M_g}$ is a local system on M_g

Remark

Note that we can also define:

- de Rham and Betti COHAs - Porta-S.
 - " BPS Lie algebras - Davison, Hennechart
- ====> Davison, Hennechart proved that

$$\text{Dolbeault-COHA} \simeq \text{de Rham COHA} \simeq \text{Betti COHA}$$

$$\text{Dolbeault-BPS L.} \simeq \text{de Rham BPS L.} \simeq \text{Betti BPS L.}$$

====> This proves (1)

The proof of (2) follows from (1) using Harder-Narasimhan strata

Corollary \forall smooth curve X , g_X is a $\pi_1(M_g)$ -repr.

Theorem (HPSS)

1. The action of $\pi_1(M_g)$ on g_X descends to an action of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$.
2. The action of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ on g_X extends to an action of $GSp(2g, \mathbb{C})$.
3. $ch_{GSp(2g, \mathbb{C})}(g_{r,d}) = q^{(g-1)r^2+1} A_{g,r,d}(q^{1/2}, \dots, q^{1/2})$

\implies Schiffmann's conjecture is true.

Let me finish with some questions:

► Mellit computed the Betti numbers of the Dolbeault moduli spaces in the parabolic setting

Q: What is the correct generalization of Schiffmann's conj.?

► Q: How do we extend this framework to stable curves?